

TORIC IDEALS OF NORMALIZED GRAPH ALGEBRAS

PETER M. JOHNSON

ABSTRACT. A graph-theoretic method, simpler than existing ones, is used to characterize the minimal set of monomial generators for the integral closure of any algebra of polynomials generated by quadratic monomials. The toric ideal of relations between these generators is generated by a set of binomials, defined graphically. The spectra of the original algebra and of its integral closure turn out to be canonically homeomorphic.

KEYWORDS: Polynomial ring, integral closure, quadratic monomial, toric ideal.
2010 MSC CLASS: Primary: 13B22; Secondary: 13F20, 05C99.

1. INTRODUCTION: MONOMIAL ALGEBRAS FROM GRAPHS

We hope to draw the attention of non-specialists to a relatively accessible area of commutative algebra with interesting questions that can be explored using a rich variety of techniques, notably combinatorial ones. Our focus is on a single problem, the structure of the integral closures (also known as normalizations) of certain algebras of polynomials defined from graphs. Questions about objects constructed from graphically-defined algebras can be regarded as questions about graphs, but little is known about when one can expect answers naturally expressible in graph-theoretical language. To understand integral closures of the algebras, it is desirable to have not only a good set of generators but also detailed information about the relations they satisfy, something that has until now been lacking. As we show, inherently algorithmic methods from graph theory quickly determine the generators, simplifying known proofs, and lead to an especially clear description of when polynomials in generators are equal. A few graphically-defined kinds of relations between generators will be found to suffice.

Arguments based on graphical configurations, of the kind in Section 8.7 of [7] or in related articles such as [6], are our principal tool. We draw more heavily than usual on such methods, and diagrams should be drawn as needed. Although the sequence of ideas is new, the methods are so elementary that parts of arguments inevitably recur in the literature. For example, it is useful to know how even closed walks in graphs can be split. This problem also arose, for a different reason, in [2], a recent article in the same general area. A more efficient approach to the solution is presented below.

Some background from commutative algebra will be briefly described. All rings considered are commutative algebras over a field k . The study of integral closures of powers and symbolic powers of ideals of polynomial rings is of interest, but (using Rees algebras) it usually suffices to concentrate only on subalgebras. Villarreal's monograph [7] describes a rich and still developing theory, involving for example polyhedral combinatorics and hypergraphs, that arises from the study of objects

related to monomials. This subarea of commutative algebra has close connections with the subarea of algebraic geometry concerned with toric varieties.

For any subalgebra F of a ring R of polynomials (or Laurent polynomials) over a field k , the integral closure $\overline{F}$ of F can be regarded as a subalgebra of R . If F is generated by (Laurent) monomials then $\overline{F}$, as a k -vector space, has a basis consisting of those monomials f in the radical of F that are quotients $f = g/h$ of monomials in F . This basic result, which opens the door to combinatorial methods, is widely used, and can be proved using an elementary idea from polyhedral combinatorics, but we could not find a single article or book that identifies its source. Of five experts consulted, only Prof. Hibi supplied the reference: it is an immediate consequence of an auxiliary result (Prop. 1) in a classic article by Hochster [Ho] from 1972. Briefly, Hochster characterizes the monomially-generated algebras that are normal (integrally closed), and one sees from his conditions that the normalization $\overline{F}$ of F must coincide with the ring obtained by adjoining to F the monomials in $\overline{F}$, which are just those described above.

Specializing further, to algebras F generated by quadratic monomials $x_i x_j$ or x_i^2 , permits more detailed results, such as those of [5], which are stated in terms of graphs G on $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ whose edges correspond to the given generators of F . In this special case, the problem of giving a graphical description for monomial generators of the integral closure $\overline{F}$, using the basis described above as a starting point, is trivially equivalent to a purely graph-theoretic problem that will soon be stated. Explicit generators were first found by Simis, Vasconcelos, Villarreal [6] and by Ohsugi, Hibi [4], in articles submitted only two months apart, which cover much the same ground despite minor differences in assumptions and forms of results. The approach of Ohsugi and Hibi emphasized the theory of integral polytopes in spaces $\mathbb{R}^d$, and their set of generators can be seen to be minimal, while Simis, Vasconcelos, and Villarreal used little more than elementary polynomial algebra, except in their Proposition 2.1, and chose to allow some redundant generators. Section 8.7 of [7] contains a partly simplified exposition, and that book contains a variety of related topics.

Our more elementary approach to finding generators provides additional information about the monomials in $\overline{F}$. Such ideas are exploited to describe binomial generators for the ideal of polynomial relations between the given generators of $\overline{F}$ (its toric ideal). A description has until now been lacking, the main difficulty being that, while the problem is of purely algebraic interest, its solution seems to require an unusually intensive use of methods from discrete mathematics. It is too much to expect a natural definition of an irredundant set of generating relations, but a relatively small generating class will be described. We hope that our solution and methods will help stimulate more refined investigations of rings defined from graphs.

2. GENERATORS FOR INTEGRAL CLOSURES VIA WEIGHTED GRAPHS

Throughout $G = (V, E)$ is a graph, allowing loops but not multiple edges, with vertex set $V = V_G = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Traditionally, the graphs used here are finite, although this restriction is inessential. Some fairly standard graph-theoretic terminology is presupposed. Much use is made of walks w in graphs, recorded as ordered lists of adjacent vertices or of the corresponding edges. Their size is measured by the number of edges, and the parity (odd or even) of walks will often be important.

Walks are either open or closed. For walks that are closed (the last vertex equals the first), the vertices will be regarded as being in a cyclic rather than a linear order, so that the walk is unchanged under the obvious rotations. Paths are walks in which all vertices except possibly the first and last are different. Circuits are closed paths.

In this area, rather than studying the rings F and $\overline{F}$ directly, it is more common to work with the associated semigroups of monomials, written additively. Let $\mathbb{Z}V$ (embedded in $\mathbb{Q}V$) denote the free abelian group generated by the vertices V of G . Subsets X of V will be identified with their characteristic functions in $\mathbb{Z}V$. An ordinary edge $\{x_i, x_j\}$ is represented as $x_i + x_j$, while $2x_i$ is used for a loop at x_i . Under addition, the subset $\mathcal{A}_G$ of images of the edges of G generates a monoid $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G$, an object often studied. By a convention going back to [3], this monoid is usually called a semigroup. Elements of $\mathbb{Z}V$ can sometimes arise by assigning non-integral weights to edges in subgraphs, where the weight at a vertex v is the sum of the weights of the edges at v , with loops at v counted twice. For example, every circuit with edges of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ induces weight 1 on each of its vertices. This weighted circuit, if even, can also be written as a sum (with all weights 1) of certain edges.

The above problem about the integral closure $\overline{F}$ of a ring F defined from a graph G , translated into additive language, becomes that of finding generators for the semigroup called $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$ formed by the elements of $\mathbb{Z}V$ that are linear combinations of edges with nonnegative rational coefficients. Curiously, the problem of describing relations between the generators has remained untouched until now, although a good set of generators for $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$ has long been known, from [4] or [6]. We first describe these generators, then give a short new proof that they suffice.

Following [4], except that G is allowed to be disconnected, an *exceptional pair* in G is an induced subgraph H consisting of two vertex-disjoint odd circuits, perhaps loops, that lie in the same connected component of G . These coincide with H-configurations, as defined in [5], when G is connected. It seems best to work with such objects rather than with similar but less restrictive ones known as bow ties, where H need not be induced. If H has an odd circuit with a chord in G or has vertex-disjoint circuits that can be joined by an edge in G , it is well-known and easy to verify that H is a sum in $\mathbb{Z}V$ of edges plus (except in the last case) some H' , where H' is an exceptional pair supported on a proper subset of the vertices on circuits of H . As explained after Def. 2.5 of [5], H (in $\mathbb{Z}V$) is a weighted sum, with coefficients ± 1 , of edges. Also $2H$, but not H itself, is a positive integral linear combination of edges, so $H \in \overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$.

With this terminology and notation, the result on generators for $\overline{F}$ becomes:

Theorem 1. *The edges and exceptional pairs of the graph G form the unique minimal generating set of $(\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}, +)$.*

Proof. Each $f \in \overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$ is a positive rational linear combination of the edges in some set E_f . By an easy result known as Carathéodory's Theorem, it can be assumed that these edges are linearly independent in $\mathbb{Q}V$. Indeed, if E_f is dependent, one can replace f repeatedly by f plus a multiple of a nontrivial linear relation, making some coefficients of vectors in E_f zero and keeping the others positive. We work with pairs (f, E_f) , with E_f independent, and show how to generate f by inducting on $|E_f|$, which is zero only when $f = 0$. Note that the subgraph $G_f = (V, E_f)$ of

G cannot have any even circuits, so its connected components are trees or contain a unique odd circuit. Also, f determines uniquely the weights on the edges in E_f .

Separation of these edge weights into integer and fractional parts decomposes f within $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$ as $f = g + h$, where g is a positive integral sum of edges, $E_h \subseteq E_f$, and h is a sum, with weights strictly between 0 and 1, of the edges in E_h . This reduces the argument to the case that $f = h$. Also, from the definition of $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$, all vertex weights $f(v)$ are integers. Thus, in G_f , no vertex lies on a unique edge, so this graph must be a disjoint union of odd circuits of G (allowing loops) on whose vertices f has weight 1.

By assumption, f is also a $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of edges. It follows that, for each connected component K of G , the sum of the weights of f at vertices in K is even, so K must contain an even number of circuits of G_f . These circuits can then be grouped into pairs each of which forms, in G , an exceptional pair or a non-induced subgraph of the kind handled in an earlier discussion.

Thus $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$ is generated (as a monoid) by edges and exceptional pairs. None of these can be rewritten as a sum of other generators of $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$, so such elements form the unique minimal generating set. $\square$

3. MINIMAL RELATIONS AND MOVES BETWEEN MONOMIALS

The aim of this section is to prepare the ground for a later description of the polynomial relations satisfied by edges and exceptional pairs in the integral closure $\overline{F}$, where as always F is defined from some graph G on the vertices $x_1, \dots, x_n$. Abstractly, one uses two new kinds of variables, here simply called edges and pairs where confusion is unlikely. These map to certain monomials (products of the vertices involved) in $\overline{F}$, regarded as a subring of $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. The polynomial relations sought are of the form $p = q$, where p and q are monic monomials, usually called *words*, in edges and pairs. These in turn give binomials $p - q$ which clearly suffice to generate the toric ideal of $\overline{F}$. The relations of equality can also be thought of as defining a congruence relation on the commutative semigroup of words in the new variables. We wish to describe a few kinds of graphically-defined relations that generate this congruence.

It is convenient to introduce auxiliary variables, here called *cycles*, associated with induced odd circuits in the graph G , with weight $\frac{1}{2}$ on the edges and thus weight 1 on the vertices of the circuit. This unconventional use of ‘cycle’ should be noted. Each pair variable converts into a product of two cycle variables. It is much simpler to analyze words in edges and cycles, then use the results to solve the original problem of describing relations between words in edges and pairs. In arguments, cycles (variables) often stand in for odd circuits (subgraphs),

Passing from $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}$ to a larger subsemigroup $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}^+$ of $\mathbb{Z}V$ generated by edges and cycles, each word gives an edge-weighted subgraph of G which in turn gives an element of $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}_G}^+$. We intend to describe the relations of equality between words in edges and cycles that are minimal, in the sense that no proper nonempty subsum yields a valid equality. All relations of equality are clearly sums of minimal ones, often in several ways. Cancellation of common terms, which would in general reduce greatly the number of generating relations needed, is an operation not permitted here. The notion of minimality has one weakness: it does not exploit the transitivity of equality, so the complete list of minimal relations may be highly redundant. The following trivial example illustrates the problem.

Example. Let G be formed from three non-adjacent loops by adding edges connecting these to a new vertex. The element of $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}}_G$ having all vertex weights 1 can be expressed in three ways as a sum of an edge and a pair. This gives three minimal equations in generators of $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}}_G$, each a consequence of the other two. Thus none of these relations is irredundant.

We now analyze a purely graph-theoretic concept relevant to the minimality of certain relations. Whenever an even closed walk (in cyclic order) does not split at some vertex into two consecutive even closed walks, but is of the form $w_1w_2w_3w_4$, where w_1 and w_3 are walks from a vertex x_1 to x_2 , possibly with $x_1 = x_2$, then the closed walks w_1w_2 and w_2w_3 are odd, so w_1 followed by the reverse of w_3 is an even closed walk. Thus the walk splits in a less direct way, and the equation from alternate edge sums of the original closed walk is a sum of equations from two other such walks.

All other even closed walks that do not split, but have a repeated vertex v , are of the form $w = w_1w_2$, where w_1 and w_2 begin and end with v . Then w_1 and w_2 are odd closed walks with only v in common. One can remove v (fusing the edges at v in pairs) to create two vertex-disjoint even closed walks from which w can be reconstructed by gluing the smaller walks together at a new vertex placed within an edge of each walk. In this way, every even closed walk that does not split can be constructed recursively from even circuits, here including the usually forbidden cases of circuits with no vertices or with one edge, repeated twice. An equivalent result on splitting, via a different approach, is presented in Section 3 of [2].

The following three kinds of equality relations between words, valid in $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}}_G^+$, will turn out to include all minimal ones. It is often preferable to think in terms of directed moves that alter parts of words in generators, or the related edge-weighted graph, without affecting the image in the semigroup.

Rotation moves. The weighted sum of edges in an even closed walk, with weights alternating between 1 and -1, vanishes. This gives a relation of equality between two edge sums with the same number of terms, counting multiplicities if edges repeat. It is not hard to see that the relation is minimal precisely when the walk does not split in the way discussed immediately above. The corresponding rotation move starts with edge-weights 0 and 1 that alternate along the walk, and adjusts them by ± 1 , thus interchanging the edge-weights 0 and 1 without altering the induced vertex-weights.

It is harmless to introduce a new variable for each even closed circuit, representing the sum with weights $\frac{1}{2}$ of the edges in the circuit. This permits new moves, here called *half-rotations*, to convert between a circuit variable and either of the two sums of alternate edges in the circuit. In effect, each edge weight is adjusted by $\pm \frac{1}{2}$. Then each rotation can be realized by two half-rotations.

Cycle-destroying moves. For even closed walks formed from the edges in an exceptional pair and in a walk w between the two circuits in the pair, traversed twice in the larger even closed walk, the sum of alternate (either odd or even) edges in w plus the two cycles from the pair can be rewritten as a sum of some of the edges in the larger even closed walk. An associated move (there are two) can be thought of as a new kind of half-rotation without a new variable. As the name suggests, one usually applies a cycle-destroying move in the direction that eliminates its pair of cycles.

A single cycle is never a sum of edges with integral weights, as is clear from the parities of sums of vertex weights in the odd circuit. Thus a cycle-destroying relation is minimal precisely when the walk w between the circuits contains no even closed subwalk. In the more degenerate case of two odd circuits too close to form an exceptional pair, maybe even equal, the cycle sum becomes an edge sum. As an extreme case, each loop has a double role as an edge e and a cycle c , where $c + c = e$.

Cycle-shifting moves. The associated relations are those valid in $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}}_G^+$ that have, on each side of the equality, a single cycle variable plus a sum of edges, allowing repetitions. In addition, to ensure minimality, the sides must have no terms in common, not even if one side is first altered by a rotation move.

As will be seen in the proof of Theorem 2, all cycle-shifts arise from configurations in G with the following properties, where cycles (really the underlying circuits) and edges may overlap or repeat. Given two different cycles and a fixed set of open walks in G , the extreme vertices of all these walks are different, and are the vertices that lie on one cycle but not on both. Also, each of these walks has odd (resp. even) length when it begins and ends in the same cycle (resp. different cycles). It follows that two types, called positive and negative signs, can be assigned to the generators (edges or cycles) so that signs alternate along the walks, and the two cycles have different signs. The sums of generators of each type are then equal, forming a minimal relation.

Some redundancy involving the first two kinds of moves can easily be eliminated.

Lemma 1. *Every rotation move can be realized by a sequence of cycle-destroying moves and rotation moves on non-split even closed circuits that are not the union of two smaller even closed circuits minus a common edge.*

Proof. Inducting on the number of edges in the relevant walks, one can suppose that the even closed walk w associated with the given rotation move does not split. If w is a circuit that violates the last condition of the lemma, the rotation can be carried out via two rotation moves on smaller circuits. For w not a circuit, the earlier analysis of non-split walks shows that w must contain at least two odd circuits C_1 and C_2 , say $w = w_1 C_1 w_2 C_2$, where $w_1 w_2$ forms a smaller even closed walk, possibly null. Now consider a configuration with weights $\frac{1}{2}$ on the edges of C_1 and C_2 , and weights 1 and -1 alternating along the walk $w_1 w_2$. One now chooses a cycle-destroying move M_1 (resp. M_2) using w_1 (resp. w_2) to connect the two circuits. With the right choice of these moves, the desired rotation move can now be obtained by applying M_1 in reverse followed by M_2 . $\square$

Alternatively, cycle-shifts and certain kinds of half-rotations generate all moves.

4. RELATIONS BETWEEN MONOMIALS IN STANDARD FORM

Two words in edges and cycles will of course map to the same element of $\overline{\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}}_G^+$ precisely when their formal difference induces the weight 0 on all vertices of G , but what is really wanted is a proof that sequences of known moves can transform one word into the other. Our method will provide an efficient algorithm for doing this. Ideas involving flux in weighted graphs guide all the arguments.

A word in edges and cycles is said to be in *standard form* if the corresponding edge-weighted graph admits no cycle-destroying moves. The next theorem is a

striking illustration of the value of converting words into standard form. Here words are treated as sets, counting multiplicities.

Theorem 2. *Suppose w_1 and w_2 are disjoint nontrivial words in standard form that represent the same element of $\overline{\mathbb{N}}\mathcal{A}_G^+$. Then some subword of w_1 can be moved, by a single rotation or cycle-shift, to a subword of w_2 . Every repeated selection of such subwords on the unused parts of w_1 and w_2 terminates with a partition of w_1 and one of w_2 , with moves that transform the parts of w_1 into the parts of w_2 .*

Proof. After reducing as much as possible, it can be assumed that no moves on subwords can be made between w_1 and w_2 and there are no common generators, but the words are not null. Generators in w_1 (resp. w_2) will be called positive (resp. negative), and one can work with edge weights induced by the formal difference of these words. All edges in w_1 and in w_2 (counting positive multiplicities) can be grouped into walks where consecutive edges alternate in sign, forming each walk successively to be as long as possible at both ends. None of these walks can be even and closed, as that would allow a rotation move between subwords of w_1 and w_2 . From vertex weights, one can now see that the walks are open, and their extreme vertices (all different) are the vertices that lie on exactly one of the cycles of w_1 or w_2 . The alternating walks can then be regarded as starting and ending with an appropriate cycle. These are the only walks considered below. As the words admit no cycle-destroying moves, the odd walks begin and end at the same cycle, while the even walks join cycles of different signs. Thus each cycle is joined to an odd number of cycles of the other sign. Remarkably, this correspondence between cycles will be shown to be a bijection.

To prove this, fix a cycle C of vertices and edges in G corresponding to a cycle variable in w_1 (say), and for each cycle C_i from w_2 , let V_i denote the set of vertices of C at which walks from C_i reach C . Such sets are mutually disjoint. For distinct cycles C_i, C_j from w_2 , and vertices $x_i \in V_i, x_j \in V_j$, no pair $\{x_i, x_j\}$ can be an edge of C , as this would allow a cycle-destroying move in w_2 . Similarly, if $\{x_i, u\}$ and $\{v, x_j\}$ are edges of C , no walk has u and v as its extreme vertices. More generally, one can start with a cyclic order (usually not induced by G) on some subset of vertices of C , and at each stage until no longer possible remove from the list some pair of vertices u, v joined by a walk and create a new cyclic order on the new set, as follows. If the previous cyclic order can be written as walks $w_1 w_2$ (usually not in G) from u to v to u , the new cyclic order consists of w_1 followed by the reverse of w_2 , now omitting u and v from these lists of vertices. By induction, vertices adjacent via such cyclic orders can never lie in sets V_i, V_j that connect to different cycles C_i, C_j . At the final step, it becomes clear that only one cycle C_i from w_2 is joined to C by walks of the above form. By symmetry, the correspondence between cycles in w_1 and those in w_2 is a bijection. But this yields a cycle-shifting move between w_1 and w_2 , contrary to assumption.

More constructively, the analysis produces a partition of the generators of w_1 into supports for rotations and cycle-shifts that transform w_1 into w_2 . $\square$

The following useful conclusions can immediately be drawn.

Corollary. *Words in standard form that represent the same element contain equal numbers of cycle variables. Such words remain in standard form when rotation or cycle-shifting moves are applied.*

5. CONSEQUENCES FOR INTEGRAL CLOSURES OF GRAPH ALGEBRAS

The algebras $\overline{F}$ are generated by edges and pairs, rather than edges and cycles. The relations are closely related to the ones already studied, and the details are routine, so we shall be concise, now using multiplicative language. To simplify statements and the definition of pairs, G will be assumed to be connected. One can, however, easily generalize results by treating each connected component of G separately. For pairs, we use variables p_{ij} indexed by unordered pairs of indices that enumerate the induced odd circuits in G , with the understanding that p_{ij} either represents an exceptional pair formed from cycles C_i and C_j , or is merely an abbreviation for some product of edges obtained from destroying this pair of cycles.

Several earlier definitions need to be adapted to the new variables. Rotation moves (or relations) involve only edges, so no change is necessary. A cycle-destroying move, which destroys two cycles in an exceptional pair, translates into a pair-destroying move, and a word not admitting such a move is said to be in standard form. Thus, apart from the change of variables, the concept of standard form does not change under the translation. For pair-shifting equations, it suffices to admit only those obtainable by multiplying a cycle-shifting equation on both sides by the same cycle variable, then replacing on each side the pair of cycles C_i, C_j by a pair variable p_{ij} . We call such a move a pair-shifting move with a shared cycle.

Theorem 3. *The toric ideal of the normalized algebra $\overline{F}$ defined from a graph, with edges and pairs as generators, is generated by the relations $p_{ij}p_{kl} = p_{ik}p_{jl}$ and those from rotation moves, pair-destroying moves, and pair-shifting moves with a shared cycle.*

Sketch of proof: Words in the new generators induce words in edges and cycles, and the procedure in the previous theorem for transforming words into standard form and using moves between words with equal images translates immediately to the new situation. Note that the way that cycles are paired can be changed whenever convenient, and two cycle-shifts applied in parallel to a word in standard form are now realizable via two pair-shifts, each with a different shared cycle. $\square$

In the present situation, with words formed from edges and pairs, the notion of minimality is no longer useful. One way to obtain complicated examples of minimal equations in edges and pairs is to choose a suitable pairing of cycles in a move (as in Theorem 2) composed of many disjoint cycle-shifts.

The above analysis of relations may form a starting point for answering more sophisticated questions about algebraic objects related in some way to $\overline{F}$.

Going in an apparently unexplored direction, we end with elementary observations about prime spectra of graphically-defined algebras, ignoring the sheaf structure. Basic results related to the going-up theorem, found in standard sources such as Ch. 5 of Atiyah and MacDonald [1], say in spectral language that the continuous map $\text{Spec}(\overline{F}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(F)$ induced by the inclusion $F \rightarrow \overline{F}$ is a closed surjection between (quasi)compact spaces, with discrete fibers. Thus this is a homeomorphism if it is injective.

Theorem 4. *For F as above, $\text{Spec}(\overline{F})$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\text{Spec}(F)$.*

Proof. We fix a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of F , and let M be a sufficiently large field containing the domain $F/\mathfrak{p}$, so that the one or more prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ of $\overline{F}$ that lie above $\mathfrak{p}$

are the kernels of the homomorphisms $\overline{F} \rightarrow M$ that extend the quotient map $F \rightarrow F/\mathfrak{p}$. To obtain M , it suffices to start with a field K generated by $F/\mathfrak{p}$ and make simultaneous quadratic extensions so that M is of the form $K[t_1, \dots, t_m]$ with $[M : K] = 2^m$, where the t_i correspond to certain pairs p_i whose squares lie in $F \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. The above homomorphisms $\overline{F} \rightarrow M$ are determined by the image of each p_i (t_i or $-t_i$). One sees without even needing to invoke Galois theory that, over K , M has 2^m automorphisms (1 if $\text{char}(K) = 2$). Then all these homomorphisms $\overline{F} \rightarrow M$ have the same kernel, so there is a unique prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ above $\mathfrak{p}$. $\square$

We mention only in passing that these affine schemes can be glued together to form less trivial examples of schemes that do not ramify under normalization. With this in mind, it seems worth describing how the graph G can be used to clarify the topological structure of $\text{Spec}(F)$. Using notations such as $F = F_K$ to emphasize the subgraph K of G used, let L_K be the algebra of Laurent polynomials over k in the edges of K . A free generating set of edges and their inverses is obtained from a spanning forest for K to which, in each non-bipartite connected component of K , an extra edge is added to form an odd circuit. With such generators, rings of the form L_K have well-understood prime spectra.

Next, call a subgraph K of $G = (V, E)$ *admissible* if, for each even closed circuit in G , with edges considered to be of two types that alternate around the circuit, K contains all edges of one type whenever it contains all of the other type. Each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(F)$ defines an admissible graph whose edges are $\{e \in E \mid e \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$. Conversely, for each admissible subgraph K , let $\mathfrak{p}_K$ denote the ideal of F generated by the edges not in K . Known results about relations in F , like our more general Theorem 2, give $F_G/\mathfrak{p}_K \cong F_K$. Thus $\mathfrak{p}_K \in \text{Spec}(F)$, and still gives a prime ideal after adjoining inverses of the edges of K . These conclusions, couched in spectral language, yield:

Theorem 5. *After canonical identifications via localizations, the sets $\text{Spec}(L_K)$, K an admissible subgraph of G , partition $\text{Spec}(F)$ into locally closed subsets. The points of $\text{Spec}(L_K)$ are the prime ideals of F giving the graph K , and their least member (unique closed point) is $\mathfrak{p}_K$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, I. G. MacDonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
- [2] I. Bermejo, P. Gimenez, A. Simis, *Polar syzygies in characteristic zero: the monomial case*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra 213 (2009) 1–21.
- [3] M. Hochster, *Rings of Invariants of Tori, Cohen-Macaulay Rings Generated by Monomials, and Polytopes*, Ann. Math. 96 (1972) 318–333.
- [4] H. Ohsugi, T. Hibi, *Normal Polytopes Arising from Finite Graphs*, J. Algebra 207 (1998) 409–426.
- [5] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos, R. H. Villarreal *On the Ideal Theory of Graphs*, J. Algebra 167 (1994) 389–416.
- [6] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos, R. H. Villarreal, *The Integral Closure of Subrings Associated to Graphs*, J. Algebra 199 (1998) 281–289.
- [7] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA BAHIA, SALVADOR, BRAZIL.
Current address: DEPTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UFPE, 50670-901 RECIFE-PE, BRAZIL.
E-mail address: peterj@dmf.ufpe.br